

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,807	11/01/2001	David J. Edlund	NPW 320	6085	
7	590 09/15/2006		EXAMINER		
Kolisch, Hart	Kolisch, Hartwell, Dickinson,			BHAT, NINA	
McCormack &	Heuser				
Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
520 S.W. Yamhill Street			1764		
Portand, OR 97204			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/016,807	EDLUND, DAVID J	J.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Bhat	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	HIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendr Notice of Appeal (with appeal nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evider fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	· ·	and fouth in the final rejection and	richavaria latas In
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire 			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of each ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding e shortened statutory period for ter than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropriedly originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	<u> </u>	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	•	: N O I' 4	(DTOL 204)
 I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. I. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	· · —-	eparate timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		, parato, illiony illion arrival	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		o) will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18,20-27,35-44 and 49-64</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections und	ler appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is reminded that he is claiming an apparatus, there has to be a structural difference between the instant invention and the prior art invention. At present there is not structural difference between the prior art apparatus and the instant apparatus, applicant should claim the supply of odorant, the supply of fuel, which is then mixed and then connected to the inlet of the fuel processor.